



5/9 (NE) J. Smith
9/2/83

Summary of the Response to Office Action

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to because in figure 8, each figure should be separately labeled, i.e. Fig. 8a, Fig. 8b.

Figure 8a and 8b are separately labeled.

In figures 1-3,6,7 and 9, it is not clear what the darkened portions (i.e. darkened flaps 13) represent. Do the dark areas represent the adhesive?

Yes, those are peel off glue. The peels off glue are newly numbered as (13-1).

Figures 1 and 2 are confusing since the darkened area is on both the front and back surface of the flap 13 on panel 16.

All the figures 1-9 are renumbered to make more clear description of the drawings.

RECEIVED

AUG 25 2003

Specification

GROUP 3600

2. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because on lines 6 and 12, "invention" is used which is improper.

Line 5 to line 6 in the abstract is amended as " A paper is cut in the shape of drawing 11 as shown in Fig. 1.

Line 12 is amended as "The card illustrated here may be used as,"

On line 3, "envelops" should apparently be "envelopes". On line 14, "invitatory" should apparently be "invitational". On line 14, "envelop" apparently be "envelope". Correction is required.

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: page 4, line 9,"star." Should apparently be "star,". Page 4, line 15, "invitatory"